

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

1889	Do <b>NOT</b> use for expedited rule making			
Agency: Department of Ecology AO # 05-18				
☑Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>06-02-017</u> ; or				
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR				
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).	Continuance of WSR			
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) Chapter 173-153 WAC, Water Conservancy Boards. This rule provides administrative direction for the formation of water conservancy boards within geographic areas of the state. The rule establishes training requirements for water conservancy board commissioners and procedures for Ecology, boards and the public to follow concerning the implementation of chapter 90.80 RCW. Chapter 90.80 RCW provides for the establishment of water conservancy boards and vests boards with certain powers related to the processing of water right change applications.				
Hearing location(s):	Cultural Control of the Control of t			
June 6, 2006: June 8, 2006:	Submit written comments to: Name: Fred Rajala			
Moses Lake Fire Department Ecology Headquarters Building	Address: Department of Ecology –Eastern Regional Office ERO			
701 E. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Ave 300 Desmond Drive	4601 N Monroe Street			
Moses Lake, WA Lacey, WA	Spokane, WA 99205-1295			
Phone: (509) 765-2204 Phone: (360) 407-6000	e-mail fraj461@ecy.wa.gov			
Time: 7:00 PM Time: 7:00 PM	fax (509) <u>329-3529</u> by (date) <u>June 16, 2006</u>			
	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact			
	Judy Beitel by May 30, 2006			
Date of intended adoption: July 7, 2006	TTY (800) <u>833-6388</u> or (360) <u>407-6878</u>			
(Note: This is NOT the effective date)  Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including an				
amend Chapter 173-153 WAC to reflect the legislative amendments to Chapter 90.80 RCW and 90.03 RCW adopted during the last two legislative sessions and provide additional information concerning the crediting of training credit to board commissioners during their term of service. Substitute House Bill 2307, Chapter 104, Session Laws of 2004, entitled Water Conservancy Board - Commissioner Eligibility, provided a definition of a "water right holder" for the purpose of determining representation requirements of board commissioners. This definition differs from the definition within the rule proposed to be amended. Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill 6125, Chapter 10, Session Laws of 2004, entitled Water Conservancy Board - Alternate, defined the position of "Alternate Commissioner" and authorized certain conditions under which an alternate may serve in the place of an absent commissioner. The adopted definition and conditions for service as a commissioner differs from that contained within the rule. Engrossed Substitute House Bill 2309, Session laws of 2005, entitled Water Right Fees, modified the fees associated with the examination of water right change applications and eliminated the collection of a fee to Ecology for applications filed with Water Conservancy Boards. The proposed rule amendment eliminates the requirement that boards forward a fee to the Department and clarifies other application processing issues related to a departmental fee within the rule. The proposed rule will also clarify reporting and documentation requirements and evaluation criteria for training credit of commissioners during their term of service.				
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 90.80.040, authorizes	Statute being implemented: Chapter 90.80 RCW			
the Director to adopt rules in accordance with Chapter				
34.05 RCW necessary to carry out Chapter 90.80 RCW.				
Is rule necessary because of a:  Federal Law?  Federal Court Decision?  Yes X No	CODE REVISER USE ONLY			
State Court Decision?				
If yes, CITATION:	CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON			
	FILED			
i ·	THE PERSONNEL TO SENSE THE PROPERTY HERE AND ADDRESS TO A STATE OF THE PERSONNEL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS			
DATE 4/27/06	9			
NAME (type or print)	APR 2 8 2006			
Polly Zehm				
	212/2			
SIGNATURE Pilly Zehm	TIME 3:36 AM			
TITLE Deputy Rivector	WSR 66.10-041 (PM)			
COMPLETE DE	(/FDCF CIDE)			
(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)				

A	Sant as to statute a language in	- la manufation aufaras	ment and fiscal	
Agency comments or recommendations, i matters:	ir any, as to statutory language, iir	ipiementation, emorce	ment, and uscai	
N/A				
		a .	<b>.</b>	
."				
Name of proponent: (person or organization	Department of Ecology		☐ Private	
		•	☐ Public ☑ Governmental	
Name of agency personnel responsible fo	r:			
Name	Office Location		Phone	
Drafting Fred Rajala	Spokane WA		(509) 329 - 3446	
ImplementationFred Rajala	Spokane WA		(509) 329 - 3446	
Enforcement Ken Slattery	Olympia, WA		(360) 407 - 6602	
Has a small business economic impact sta	atement been prepared under cha	pter 19.85 RCW?		
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business ec	conomic impact statement.		•	
A copy of the statement may be o Name:	btained by contacting:		•	
Address:				
phone ( )				
fax ( ) e-mail	en la companya de la La companya de la co	$(x,y) = \frac{2\pi i}{2\pi i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - x_i)^{-1} = 0  (x,y) = 0$	•	
	<del></del>			
No. Explain why no statement was prepared.     The amendments to Chapter 173-153 WAC are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute as amended. The amendment of the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute as amended. The amendment of the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute as amended. The amendment of the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute as amended. The amendment of the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute as amended.  ■ The amendment is to Chapter 173-153 WAC are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute as amended.  ■ The amendment is the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made.  ■ The amendment is the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made.  ■ The amendment is the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made.  ■ The amendment is the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made.  ■ The amendment is the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made.  ■ The amendment is the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made.  ■ The amendment is the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made primarily to conform the existing rule to the statute are made primarily to the				
this rule will not impose a cost upon business that			d. The amendment of	
ls a cost-benefit analysis required under F	RCW 34.05.328?	and the second of		
☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analy	sis may be obtained by contacting:			
Name:				
Address:				
	·			
phone ( )				
fax ( )				
e-mail				
No: Please explain: The amendments benefit analysis is not required.	to the existing rule do not constitute a si	ignificant legislative rule a	nd therefore a cost-	
		•		
	·	t		